



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,355	07/30/2003	Carsten Momma	117163.00077	9258
21324 7590 11/03/2008 HAHN LOESER & PARKS, LLP One GOJO Plaza Suite 300 AKRON, OH 44311-1076				
EXAMINER PILEGRINO, BRIAN E				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3738				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/03/2008		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@hahnlaw.com  
akron-docket@hotmail.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

---

*Ex parte*: CARSTEN MOMMA, ANDREAS BECKER,  
ROBERT SCHMIEDL,  
AND BERND HEUBLEIN

---

Application No. 10/630,355  
Technology Center 3738

---

Mailed: October 31, 2008

---

Before DELORES LOWE, *Review Team Paralegal*  
LOWE, *Review Team Paralegal*.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on August 28, 2008. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matters requiring attention prior to docketing.

**APPEAL BRIEF, GROUNDS OF REJECTION**

A review of the file finds that the grounds of rejection of the claims as provided in the Appeal Brief filed June 14, 2007 under the heading “Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal” is unclear and/or is not consistent with the grounds of rejection of claims of record. The grounds of rejection of the claims as provided in the Appeal Brief must be consistent with the last Office action of record, including any Advisory action responsive to any after final submissions. Each Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal must be identified.

A review of the last Office action, including any mailed Advisory Action(s) finds that claims 1, 3-~~13~~, 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu et al. (6254632); whereas Appellants have either not indicated the grounds of rejection of these claims or has improperly listed these claims as claims 1, 3-~~12~~, and 26-29 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,254,632 to Wu et al. Correction of the Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal for all claims is required.

**APPEAL BRIEF, CLAIMS APPENDIX**

A review of the file finds that claims 3 and 5 as provided in the appendix of the Appeal Brief are not consistent in the amendment filed on May 18, 2006. Appropriate correction required.

**REPLY BRIEF**  
**Improper Acknowledgment**

On December 19, 2007, the Examiner mailed a communication acknowledging receipt of Appellant's Reply Brief, dated November 26, 2007. A review of this communication reveals that the Examiner did not provide proper acknowledgment. The comment made by the Examiner constitutes a Supplemental Examiner's Answer. In accordance with MPEP § 1207.05, all supplemental examiner's answers must be approved by a Technology Center (TC) Director or designee. The Communication mailed December 19, 2007, was not signed by the Technology Center Director or designee.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the application is returned to the Examiner:

- 1) to hold the Appeal Brief filed on June 14, 2007 defective;
- 2) notify Appellants to file a paper properly addressing the Grounds of rejection of all claims;
- 3) correction of the Claims Appendix;

4) either vacate the Supplemental Examiner's Answer mailed December 19, 2007, or revise the Supplemental Examiner's Answer by acquiring the Technology Center Director's approval on such;

5) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

DAL\

HAHN LOESER & PARKS, LLP  
ONE GOJO PLAZA  
SUITE 300  
AKRON, OH 44311-1076